



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
On March 27 and March 30, the Examiner spoke with Robert M. Schulman and Alexander H. Spiegler regarding this case. The Examiner called to inform the applicants' representative that all claims would be rejoined, and that in the Examiner's opinion some of the rejoined broader claims were obvious over the prior art, and many of the claims were duplicative in light of the amendments to the claims. The Examiner proposed amendments to cancel obvious and redundant claims, and proposed amendments to give proper claim dependency to the remaining claims and fix formal matters. The applicants' representative agreed to these changes in order to expedite an allowance..